Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/812,577	MITCHELL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas B. Will	3671
All Participants:	Status of Application	1:
(1) <u>Thomas B. WIII</u> .	(3)	
(2) Gregory S. Lampert.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 November 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Proposed 101		
Claims discussed: Claim 12		
Prior art documents discussed: 6,739,415		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN Agreed to cancel claim 12 because it was a duplicate of claim		WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer 	he examiner will provide a record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
Phylo		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)